

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,382	04/01/2004	Larry G. Ingraham	44018-0002	8271	
30734 75	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE		
	N SQUARE, SUITE 1100 CTICUT AVE. N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20036-5304		3611	3611	
			DATE MAILED, 11/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/815,382	INGRAHAM, LARRY G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cassandra Davis	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se					
,	•—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G., 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18 and 20-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18 and 20-31</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= · ·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a have been received	·			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
		,			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Tion Appropries			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 30-32 have been renumbered 29-31. Claim

Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 25-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nemzin et al., U. S. Patent 5,223,316.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

3. Nemzin teaches a support frame for predetermined characters comprising a 3-D element 12 having a hanger tab 30 for adhering the support frame to a wall, a signage donor element 26 and 24. The support frame and the donor elements are made from the same resilient plastic material and are colors contrasting so that the characters can be easily distinguished from the associate holders.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- 5. Claims 12-16 and 20, 21, 23, 24 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studen U. S. Patent 3,280,499 in view of Nemzin et al., U. S. Patent 5,223,316.
- 6. Studen teaches a device comprising a three-dimensioned element defining a signage recipient base element (blank 2) and a plurality of signage donor element (portions 14-22), wherein the base element has a plurality of apertures 5-13. The base 2 and donor elements 14-22 are

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Art Unit: 3611

constructed of expanded polyethylene such that the donor element frictionally fit with the apertures 5-13 of the base 2. The friction fit corresponds to the claimed snap-in fit. The donor element has a color different than the color of the base. See column 1, lines 48-55. Nemzin teaches a support frame for predetermined characters comprising a 3-D element 12 having a hanger tab 30 for adhering the support frame to a wall, a signage donor element 26 and 24. The support frame and the donor elements are made from the same resilient plastic material and are colors contrasting so that the characters can be easily distinguished from the associate holders. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the device taught by Studen with an adhesive holder as taught by Nemzin to provide means to support the device upon a wall.

7. With respect to claim 13, Studen teaches the donor element comprises a plurality of sub-parts (each indivisible punched portion 5-13 and the stencil-like portion or apertures comprises a plurality of separate sub-portions 14-22, with each sub-portion or aperture of the base element having inserted therein one of the donor element sub-parts.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Art Unit: 3611

8. With respect to claim 14-16, Studen teaches the color of the donor elements is different than the base element.

Page 5

- 9. With respect to claims 20, 21, 23, 34, since the specific indicia does not have an unobvious functional relationship with the signage donor element, it appears that any suitable indicia/indication/message would perform equally well in conveying a desired message.
- 10. Claims 17 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studen in view of Nemzin as applied to claim 12 above and in view of Forbes et al., U. S. Patent 6,626,678. Forbes et al teaches a base 42, a frame 43 secured to the base and having a plurality of apertures and a plurality of tiles 44 adapted to fit within the apertures. Each tile has a layer of soft fabric with different tactility. Forbes also teaches that each tile is distinguishable by color or by the presence of indicia on the textured surfaces. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the device taught by Studen and Nemzin with each donor element further be distinguishable by tactility, color, or indicia to provide a means identify one donor or tile element from another.

Art Unit: 3611

- 11. With respect to claims 29-31, since the applicant does not disclose that indicia with phosphorescent, glitter, or photo-luminescent solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, it appears that constructing the indicia with any suitable material which contrast with the base or support surface would perform equally well in conveying a desired message.
- 12. Claim 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright, U. S. Patent 2,535,619 in view of Studen.
- 13. Wright teaches a toy comprising a base member 10 having a plurality of cutouts and a plurality of donor element or segments 11, wherein each segment has a different thickness than the base member. Studen teaches a device with frictionally fit donor element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the toy taught by Wright with the donor member made of a polyethylene material as taught by Studen to provide a friction fit or snap fit between the donor element and the base.

With respect to claim 12, since the toy taught by Wright is adapted to be placed on a table, the examiner contends the toy is also adapted or configured to be placed on a floor.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382 Page 7

Art Unit: 3611

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD November 27, 2006